UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Lee Togo Shaw	Case Number: CR-18-01207-01-PHX-DLR		
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
\boxtimes	by clear and convincing evidence the de	fendant is a danger to the community and require		
	the detention of the defendant pending			
	by a preponderance of the evidence the of the defendant pending trial in this case.	defendant is a flight risk and require the detention se.		
	PART I F	FINDINGS OF FACT		
	☐ an offense for which a maximur	believe that the defendant has committed n term of imprisonment of ten years or more is et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et		
	maximum term of imprisonment of t □ an offense involving a minor victi (2) The defendant has not rel that no condition or combinat	32b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a en years or more is prescribed.		
Alternative Findings				
	(1) There is a serious risk combination of conditions will rea as required.	that the defendant will flee; no condition or sonably assure the appearance of the defendant		
	(2) No condition or combination of others and the community.	on of conditions will reasonably assure the safety		
		the defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct nidate a prospective witness or juror.		

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

X		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: <u>See below</u>
		(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might
		make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .
	The cexce	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, pt:
	Defenies preleased condesidered prior deniesed places will need to be a serio	Idition: Indant is before the Court based upon his prior admission that he violated a term of retrial release. On December 21, 2018, Defendant admitted he violated his pretrial use by voluntarily consuming methamphetamine. The Court finds there are no itions of release that will ensure the safety of the community. Defendant has two felony convictions and faces serious charges in the Indictment. Defendant initially educing methamphetamine on release. After the test results were positive, he ested placement at Crossroads. The Court agreed to consider Crossroads ement, but Defendant was found unsuitable due to his cancer problems. The Court of release Defendant to an out-patient treatment program. If Defendant has a us cancer issue that merits reconsideration of release, Defendant's counsel was seed to file a motion with the Court.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 22nd day of January, 2019.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge